# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

#### ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Sergio Esquivel-Zamudio	Case Number: <u>12-02033M-001</u>
as represented by counsel. I conclude by	142(f), a detention hearing was held on October 15, 2012. Defendant was a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
	FINDINGS OF FACT
onderance of the evidence that:	
The defendant is not a citizen of the U	nited States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
The defendant, at the time of the char	ged offense, was in the United States illegally.
If released herein, the defendant fa Enforcement, placing him/her beyond or otherwise removed.	nces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported
The defendant has no significant cont	acts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
The defendant has no resources in the to assure his/her future appearance.	United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated
The defendant has a prior criminal his	tory.
The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
The defendant is an amnesty applica substantial family ties to Mexico.	ant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
There is a record of prior failure to app	pear in court as ordered.
The defendant attempted to evade law	v enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
The defendant is facing a maximum o	f years imprisonment.
	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 is represented by counsel. I conclude by defendant pending trial in this case.  onderance of the evidence that:  The defendant is not a citizen of the U. The defendant, at the time of the charge of the defendant, at the time of the charge of the defendant fare in the defendant has no significant contains. The defendant has no resources in the to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal his in the defendant lives/works in Mexico.  The defendant is an amnesty applicate substantial family ties to Mexico.  There is a record of prior failure to apprent the defendant to the defendant to apprent the de

The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
- 2. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.

### **DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION**

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

### **APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE**

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: October 15, 2012

United States Magistrate Judge